1 2 3 4 5 6	Jeffrey F. Keller (State Bar No. 148005) KELLER GROVER, LLP 1965 Market Street San Francisco, California 94103 Telephone: (415) 543-1305 Facsimile: (415) 543-7861 E-Mail: jfkeller@kellergrover.com John G. Jacobs (pro hac vice) Bryan G. Kolton (pro hac vice) JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD. 122 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1850 Chicago, Illinois 60603	Douglas R. Tribble (State Bar No. 116868) Connie J. Wolfe (State Bar No. 207661) PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 501 W. Broadway, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101-3575 Telephone: (619) 234-5000 Facsimile: (619) 236-1995 E-Mail: douglas.tribble@pillsburylaw.com E-Mail: connie.wolfe@pillsburylaw.com Roxane A. Polidora (State Bar No. 135972) PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN
	Telephone: (312) 427-4000	LLP
8	Facsimile: (312) 427-1850 E-Mail: jgjacobs@jacobskolton.com	50 Fremont Street, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 7880
9	E-Mail: bgkolton@jacobskolton.com	San Francisco, CA 94120-7880 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
10	David Schachman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) DAVID SCHACHMAN & ASSOCIATES,	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200 E-Mail: roxane.polidora@pillsburylaw.com
11	P.C.	
12	122 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1850 Chicago, Illinois 60603	Attorneys for Defendants Pacific Bell Telephone Company,
13	Telephone: (312) 427-9500 Facsimile: (312) 427-1850 E-Mail: ds@schachmanlaw.com	AT&T Services, Inc., and AT&T Operations, Inc.
14	E-iviaii. us@schaciiiiaiiaw.com	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Joy Nwabueze and	
16	the putative class	
17		TES DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
19	behalf of a class of similarly situated	Case No. CV 09-1529 SI
20	individuals,	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL OF AT&T
21	Plaintiff,	INC. [F.R.C.P. Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii)]
22	VS.	Courtroom: 10 Judge: Hon. Susan Illston
23	AT&T INC., a Delaware corporation;	2
24	PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/a AT&T CALIFORNIA, a California corporation; AT&T SERVICES,	First Amended Class Action Complaint Filed: August 13, 2009
25	INC., a Delaware corporation; AT&T SERVICES, OPERATIONS, INC., a Delaware	
26	corporation; and DOES 1 through 21,	
27	Defendants.	
28		

Plaintiff Joy Nwabueze, by and through her counsel, and Defendants Pacific Bell Telephone Company, AT&T Services, Inc., AT&T Operations, Inc., and AT&T Inc. ("Defendants"), by and through their counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to the following:

- 1. Counsel for Defendants has requested that named defendant AT&T Inc. be dismissed without prejudice from the complaint based upon representations made in a motion to dismiss previously filed by AT&T Inc.
- 2. Defendant AT&T Inc. agrees that it will respond to Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents as if it was still a defendant in this case and will comply with any orders entered by the Court regarding those requests as if it was still a defendant in this case, provided, however, that Defendant AT&T shall not be required to respond to Request No. 65 except as set forth in Paragraph 6, below.
- 3. Defendants, including AT&T Inc., agree that if AT&T Inc. is dismissed pursuant to the terms of this stipulation, they will not withhold any discovery on the grounds that AT&T Inc. is no longer a named defendant in the case, and will not use the fact that AT&T Inc. is not a defendant as a basis for opposing discovery.
- 4. Defendants agree that the statute of limitations shall be tolled for AT&T Inc., as of the date of Plaintiff's filing of her original complaint, as to the transactions and events at issue in the complaint. Defendants further agree that Plaintiff may, in her discretion, re-name Defendant AT&T Inc. in this litigation without the necessity for a formal noticed motion.
- 5. Both sides agree that, while they disagree as to the question of this Court's jurisdiction over AT&T, Inc., it is not a prudent expenditure of the parties' and the Court's resources to deal with that issue at this juncture of the litigation and thus have agreed to the stipulation set forth herein.
- 6. Based upon the agreements and representations set forth herein, Plaintiff hereby agrees to dismiss AT&T Inc. from this action without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41 (a)(1)(A)(ii). Plaintiff further agrees that she will not require Defendants, including AT&T Inc., to produce documents responsive to the personal jurisdiction document request, Request No. 65, in Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents propounded upon each Defendant, unless

1	Plaintiff re-names AT&T Inc. as a defendant, as set forth above.	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3	Dated: June 17, 2011.	
4		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP ROXANE A. POLIDORA CONNIE J. WOLFE
6		Dec /c/ Decree 4 Del' L
7		By <u>/s/ Roxane A. Polidora</u> Roxane A. Polidora
8		Attorneys for Defendants Pacific Bell Telephone Company, AT&T Services, Inc.; AT&T Operations, Inc. and AT&T Inc.
9	Detect AND TES DISTRICT	
10 11	Dated June 17, 2011	KELLER GROVER, LLP JEFFREY F. KELLER
12	IT IS SO ORDERED	JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD.
13	S IT IS SO	JOHN G. JACOBS BRYAN G. KOLTON
14	Judge Susan Illston	DAVID SCHACHMAN & ASSOC., PC DAVID SCHACHMAN
15	13	
16	DISTRICT OF CE	By <u>/s/ John G. Jacobs</u> John G. Jacobs
17	OISTRIC!	Attorneys for Plaintiff Joy Nwabueze and the Putative Class
18	ATTESTATION OF SIGNATURE	
19	(N.D. Cal. General Order 45)	
20	I, Roxane A. Polidora, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of the following	
21	document: STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL OF AT&T INC. [F.R.C.P. Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii)]	
22	has been obtained from all of the signatories.	
23	Dated: June 17, 2011	/s/ Roxane A. Polidora
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on June 17, 2011, a true and correct copy of STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL OF AT&T INC. [F.R.C.P. Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii)] was electronically transmitted to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all ECF registrants in this case.